

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,292	09/13/2000	Koshio Kuwakino	0879-0275P	7762	
75	90 02/18/2003				
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER		
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			HARRINGTO	HARRINGTON, ALICIA M	
		4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	09/661,292	KUWAKINO, KOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this agreement attendance	Alicia M Harrington	2873				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	anuary 2003					
·_ ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/13/40 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language proving 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/661,292

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al (US 6,035,137).

Regarding claim 1, Kaneko discloses a lens drive (12) device comprises a motor (zoom or focus or iris; see col. 1,lines 60-65; col. 2,lines 10-53); a storage means which stores information prescribing a breaking characteristic of the moving object driven by the motor, input device and braking characteristic setting device (see also col. 3,liens 56-67;col. 6,lines 5-25; col. 7,lines 1-5). Kaneko has two switches that control the rate of a zooming. The quick zooming is one rate and the seesaw switch is at another zoom rate. In operation, the seesaw zooming takes priority over the quick zooming. The quick zooming is also used to drive the lens towards the telephoto end. If the see saw zooming is actuated the quick zooming is stopped or can't began. Thus,

Kaneko provides for brake work occurring before the telephoto end can be changed (see col. 6,lines 50-65 and col. 7,lines 15-22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko et al (US 6,035,137), as applied above in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Kaneko discloses a TV camera with lens. However, Kaneko fails to specifically disclose a display. Although, a camera (film or video) is notoriously well known in the art to contain a display for display image data as well as other camera information, such as exposure information, and the Examiner takes official notice to this fact. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display braking characteristics (zoom, focus, iris etc) on a display, since it is known in the art to display exposure data and such information is pertinent the user artistic imaging expression (i.e. Creating effects of shadows in the image, darkening of foreground or background))- increase versatility in the use of the camera.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko, applied above in claim 1, further in view of Miyano et al. (US 5,859,733).

Application/Control Number: 09/661,292

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 3, Kaneko discloses a camera system with lens. However, Kaneko fails to specifically disclose a display. Although, it is well known in the art to for lens to comprise a portion that displays information, as taught by Miyano et al.

In the same field of endeavor, Miyano discloses a lens frame that displays object distance and lens focus information (see abstract; col. 6, lines 38-49 and col. 7, lines 29-51; col. 9, 60-66 and col. 10, lines 1-9; see figures 1, 5 and 12). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kaneko's lens barrel to include a display, as taught by Miyano, as such provides an indication of information related to the lens in a simple structure, as taught by Miyano. However, Kaneko and Miyano fail to specifically disclose displaying braking characteristics (zoom, focus, iris data) on a display. Although, a camera (film or video) is notoriously well known in the art to contain a display for displaying several types of information, such as exposure information, and the Examiner takes official notice to this fact. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display braking characteristics (zoom, focus, iris etc) on a display, since it is known in the art to display exposure data and such information is pertinent the user artistic imaging expression (close up, panoramic etc)- increase versatility in the use of the camera.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko et al (US 6,035,137).

Regarding claim 4, Kaneko discloses a lens drive (12) device comprises a motor (zoom or focus or iris; see col. 1,lines 60-65; col. 2,lines 10-53); a storage means which stores information prescribing a breaking characteristic of the moving object driven by the motor, input device and

Art Unit: 2873

braking characteristic setting device (see also col. 3,liens 56-67;col. 6,lines 5-25; col. 7,lines 1-5). Kaneko discloses a TV camera with lens. However, Kaneko fails to specifically disclose a display. Although, a camera (film or video) is notoriously well known in the art to contain a display for display image data as well as other camera information, such as exposure information, and the Examiner takes official notice to this fact. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display braking characteristics (zoom, focus, iris etc) on a display, since it is known in the art to display exposure data and such information is pertinent the user artistic imaging expression (i.e. Creating effects of shadows in the image, darkening of foreground or background))- increase versatility in the use of the camera. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to update the information as the data changes (new zooming rate or new iris stop etc).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Kaneko fails to specifically disclose a brake works before on of a wide angled end and a telephoto end can be changed. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.). Kaneko has two switches that control the rate of a zooming. The quick zooming is one rate and the seesaw switch is at another zoom rate. In operation, the seesaw zooming takes priority over the quick zooming. The quick zooming is also used to drive the lens towards the telephoto end. If the see saw zooming is actuated the quick zooming is stopped or can't began. Thus, Kaneko

Application/Control Number: 09/661,292

Art Unit: 2873

Page 6

provides for brake work occurring before the telephoto end can be changed (see col. 6,lines 50-

65 and col. 7, lines 15-22). Thus, the rejection will be repeated.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Kawamura et al (US 5,826,117) discloses a TV Camera lens driving device and TV

camera lens.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alicia M Harrington whose telephone number is 703 308 9295.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703 308 4883. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular

communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Alicia M Harrington

Examiner

Art Unit 2873

February 6, 2003

Georgia Ep

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800